arXiv:1405.4406v1 [math.FA] 17 May 2014

GENERALIZING THE KANTOROVICH METRIC TO PROJECTION VALUED MEASURES

TRUBEE DAVISON

ABSTRACT. Given a compact metric space X, the collection of Borel probability measures on X can be made into a complete metric space via the Kantorovich metric (see [5]). We generalize this well known result to projection valued measures. In particular, given a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, consider the collection of projection valued measures from X into the projections on $\mathcal{H}$. We show that this collection can be made into complete metric space via a generalized Kantorovich metric. As an application, we use the Contraction Mapping Theorem on this complete metric space of projection valued measures to provide an alternative method for proving a fixed point result due to P. Jorgensen (see [7] and [8]). This fixed point, which is a projection valued measure, arises from an iterated function system on X, and is related to Cuntz Algebras. We also show that the space of positive operator valued measures from X into the positive operators on $\mathcal{H}$ can be made into a complete metric space, via the same generalized Kantorovich metric.

CONTENTS

1. Preliminaries: 1
2. A Metric Space of Projection Valued Measures on X: 4
2.1. A Generalized Kantorovich Metric: 4
2.2. $(P(X), \rho)$ is Complete: 8
2.3. Defining the Weak Topology on P(X): 11
2.4. Isomorphism of Metric Spaces: 12
3. An Application for the Metric Space $(P(X), \rho)$: 14
3.1. An Alternative Proof of Theorem 1.9: 18
4. Generalizing to Positive Operator Valued Measures: 20
5. Conclusion: 26
6. Acknowledgements: 27
References 27

1. PRELIMINARIES:

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, and define $M(X)$ to be the collection of Borel probability measures on X. It is well known (see [5]) that $M(X)$ can be equipped with the Kantorovich metric, H, given by:

$$H(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{\phi \in \text{Lip}_1(X)} \left\{ \left| \int_X \phi d\mu - \int_X \phi d\nu \right| \right\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where μ and ν are elements of $M(X)$, and where,

$$\text{Lip}_1(X) = \{\phi : X \to \mathbb{R} : |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X\}.$$

Definition 1.1. *A sequence measures $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq M(X)$ converges weakly to a measure $\mu \in M(X)$, written $\mu_n \Rightarrow \mu$, if for all $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, $\int_X f d\mu_n \to \int_X f d\mu$, where $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ is the collection of continuous real valued functions on X.*

The motivation behind the above definition is the following. For each $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, define a mapping $\hat{f} : M(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\mu \mapsto \int_X f d\mu$. For each $\nu \in M(X)$, for each $\epsilon > 0$, and for any finite collection of functions $\{f_1, ..., f_k\} \subseteq C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, consider the subset, $\{\mu \in M(X) : |\hat{f}_j(\mu) - \hat{f}_j(\nu)| < \epsilon \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq k\}$, of $M(X)$. The collection of all finite intersections of such subsets defines a basis for a topology on $M(X)$ which is called the weak topology on $M(X)$. Note that since $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ is a separable metric space, the weak topology is first countable, and hence, can be characterized by sequences.

Remark 1.2. *A sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ of measures in $M(X)$ converges to a measure μ in the weak topology on $M(X)$ if and only if $\hat{f}(\mu_n) \to \hat{f}(\mu)$ for all $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$.*

This leads us to the following two well known facts (presented in [9]).

Proposition 1.3.

(1) *$(M(X), H)$ is compact.*
(2) *The topology induced by the metric H on $M(X)$ coincides with the weak topology on $M(X)$.*

Corollary 1.4. *$(M(X), H)$ is a complete metric space.*

We continue with some additional preliminaries. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\sigma_0, ..., \sigma_{N-1}\}$ be an iterated function system (IFS) on (X, d). That is, for all $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$, $\sigma_i : X \to X$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$d(\sigma_i(x), \sigma_i(y)) \leq r_i d(x, y),$$

where $0 < r_i < 1$. Indeed, each σ_i is a Lipschitz contraction on X, and r_i is the Lipschitz constant associated to σ_i. Let $\sigma : X \to X$ be a Borel measurable function such that $\sigma \circ \sigma_i = \text{id}_X$ for all $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$.

Assume further that,

$$X = \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} \sigma_i(X), \tag{1.2}$$

where the above union is disjoint. We have the following important result due to Hutchinson.

Theorem 1.5. [5] *The map $T : M(X) \to M(X)$ by*

$$\nu(\cdot) \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{N} \nu(\sigma_k^{-1}(\cdot)),$$

is a Lipschitz contraction in the $(M(X), H)$ metric space, with Lipschitz constant $r := max_{0 \leq i \leq N-1}\{r_i\}$.

By applying the Contraction Mapping Theorem to the Lipschitz contraction T, there exists a unique measure, $\mu \in M(X)$, such that $T(\mu) = \mu$. That is,

$$\mu(\cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{N} \mu(\sigma_k^{-1}(\cdot)).$$

This unique invariant measure, μ, is called the Hutchinson measure associated to $\mathcal{S}$.

Consider the Hilbert space $L^2(X, \mu)$. Define,

$$S_i : L^2(X, \mu) \to L^2(X, \mu) \text{ by } \phi \mapsto (\phi \circ \sigma)\sqrt{N}\mathbf{1}_{\sigma_i(\mathbf{X})},$$

for all $i = 0, ..., N-1$, and it's adjoint,

$$S_i^* : L^2(X, \mu) \to L^2(X, \mu) \text{ by } \phi \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}(\phi \circ \sigma_i),$$

for all $i = 0, ..., N-1$. This leads to following result due to Jorgensen.

Theorem 1.6. [6] *The maps $\{S_i : 0 \leq i \leq N-1\}$ are isometries, and the maps $\{S_i^* : 0 \leq i \leq N-1\}$ are their adjoints. Moreover, these maps and their adjoints satisfy the Cuntz relations:*

(1) $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i S_i^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$

(2) $S_i^* S_j = \delta_{i,j} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ *where* $0 \leq i, j \leq N-1$.

Corollary 1.7. [6] *The Hilbert space $L^2(X, \mu)$ admits a representation of the Cuntz algebra, $\mathcal{O}_N$, on N generators.*

Let $\Gamma_N = \{0, ..., N-1\}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $\Gamma_N^k = \Gamma_N \times ... \times \Gamma_N$, where the product is k times. If $a = (a_1, ..., a_k) \in \Gamma_N^k$, where $a_j \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, define

$$A_k(a) = \sigma_{a_1} \circ ... \circ \sigma_{a_k}(X).$$

Using that (1.2) is a disjoint union, we conclude that $\{A_k(a)\}_{a \in \Gamma_N^k}$ partitions X for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \cup_{i=0}^{N-1} \sigma_i(K) \\ &= \cup_{i=1}^{N-1} \sigma_i(\cup_{j=1}^{N-1} \sigma_j(X)) \\ &= \cup_{i,j} \sigma_i \circ \sigma_j(X) \\ &= \cup_{a \in \Gamma_N^2} A_2(a) \\ &= ... = \cup_{a \in \Gamma_N^k} A_k(a). \end{aligned}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $a = (a_1, ..., a_k) \in \Gamma_N^k$ define,

$$P_k(a) = S_a S_a^*,$$

where $S_a = S_{a_1} \circ ... \circ S_{a_k}$. The Cuntz relations suggest that $P_k(a)$ is a projection on the Hilbert space $L^2(X, \mu)$.

Definition 1.8. *If T is a set, Ω is a σ-algebra of subsets of T, and $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, a projection valued measure for $(T, \Omega, \mathcal{H})$ is a function $F : \Omega \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (where $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the C^* algebra of bounded operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$) such that,*

(1) *For each* $\Delta \in \Omega$, $F(\Delta)$ *is a projection on* $\mathcal{H}$*;*
(2) $F(\emptyset) = 0$ *and* $F(\Omega) = id_{\mathcal{H}}$ *(where* $id_{\mathcal{H}}$ *denotes the identity operator on* $\mathcal{H}$*);*
(3) $F(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) = F(\Delta_1)F(\Delta_2)$ *for* $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \Omega$*;*
(4) *If* $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *are pairwise disjoint sets in* Ω*, then for any* $h \in \mathcal{H}$*,*

$$F\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n\right)(h) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(\Delta_n)h).$$

We now state an important result due to Jorgensen.

Theorem 1.9. [7] [8] *There exists a unique projection valued measure* $E(\cdot)$ *defined on the Borel subsets of* X *taking values in the projections on* $L^2(X, \mu)$ *such that,*

(1) $E(\cdot) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\cdot))S_i^*$*, and*
(2) $E(A_k(a)) = P_k(a)$ *for all* $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *and* $a \in \Gamma_N^k$*.*

Jorgensen's proof of Theorem 1.9 is achieved by showing that the map $A_k(a) \mapsto P_k(a)$ extends uniquely to a projection valued measure from the Borel subsets of X, denoted $\mathcal{B}(X)$, into the projections on $L^2(X, \mu)$. The main goal of this paper is to provide an alternative proof of this theorem. In particular, we will realize the map,

$$F(\cdot) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i F(\sigma_i^{-1}(\cdot))S_i^*,$$

as a Lipschitz contraction on a complete metric space of projection valued measures from $\mathcal{B}(X)$ into the projections on $L^2(X, \mu)$. The Contraction Mapping Theorem will then guarantee the existence of a unique projection valued measure E satisfying part (1) of Theorem 1.9. Part (2) of Theorem 1.9 will follow as a consequence.

2. A Metric Space of Projection Valued Measures on X:

Let (X, d) be the compact metric space defined above. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an arbitrary Hilbert space. In Section 3, we will restrict to the situation that $\mathcal{H} = L^2(X, \mu)$.

2.1. **A Generalized Kantorovich Metric:**

Lemma 2.1. [3] *Let* F *be a projection valued measure from* $\mathcal{B}(X)$ *into the projections on* $\mathcal{H}$*. Let* $g, h \in \mathcal{H}$*. For all* $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ *define,*

$$F_{g,h}(\Delta) = \langle F(\Delta)g, h\rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ *denotes the inner product on* $\mathcal{H}$*. Then* $F_{g,h}(\cdot)$ *defines a countably additive measure on* $\mathcal{B}(X)$ *with total variation less than or equal to* $||g||\,||h||$*. Moreover,* $F_{g,h}(\cdot) = \overline{F_{h,g}(\cdot)}$*.*

Remark 2.2. *If* $h \in \mathcal{H}$*,* $F_{h,h}(\cdot)$ *is a positive measure with total mass equal to* $||h||^2$*.*

Proposition 2.3. [3] *Let* F *be a projection valued measure from* $\mathcal{B}(X)$ *into the projections on* $\mathcal{H}$*. Let* $\psi : X \to \mathbb{C}$ *be a bounded Borel measurable function. Then there exists a unique bounded operator, which we denote by* $\int \psi dF$*, that satisfies,*

$$\left\langle \left(\int \psi dF\right) g, h \right\rangle = \int_X \psi dF_{g,h},$$

for all $g, h \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, $||\int \psi dF|| \leq ||\psi||_\infty$, where $||\cdot||$ denotes the operator norm, and $||\cdot||_\infty$ denotes the supremum norm.

Let $P(X)$ be the collection of all projection valued measures from $\mathcal{B}(X)$ into the projections on $\mathcal{H}$. Define a metric ρ on $P(X)$ by,

$$\rho(E, F) = \sup_{\phi \in \text{Lip}_1(X)} \left\{ \left|\left| \int \phi dE - \int \phi dF \right|\right| \right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $||\cdot||$ denotes the operator norm in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and E and F are arbitrary members of $P(X)$. We show that ρ is a metric on $P(X)$. We begin with several facts.

Claim 2.4. *For $h \in \mathcal{H}$, and $E \in P(X)$, the positive measure $E_{h,h}(\cdot)$ is regular on $\mathcal{B}(X)$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that positive Borel measures are regular on metric spaces (see [2]). □

Claim 2.5. *If $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $E \in P(X)$, then $\int \phi dE$ is a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X, \mu))$.*

Proof. Note that since ϕ is continuous on the compact space X, it is a bounded Borel measurable function. Therefore, one can define $\int \phi dE$. If $g, h \in \mathcal{H}$, then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \left(\int \phi dE \right) g, h \right\rangle &= \int_X \phi dE_{g,h} \\
&= \int_X \overline{\phi} d\overline{E_{h,g}} \\
&= \overline{\int_X \phi dE_{h,g}} \\
&= \overline{\left\langle \left(\int \phi dE \right) h, g \right\rangle} \\
&= \left\langle g, \left(\int \phi dE \right) h \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Claim 2.6. *If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant, then,*

$$\left\langle \left(\int \lambda dE \right) g, h \right\rangle = \lambda id_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Proof. Let $g, h \in \mathcal{H}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \left(\int \lambda dE \right) g, h \right\rangle &= \int_X \lambda dE_{g,h}(x) \\ &= \lambda \int_X dE_{g,h}(x) \\ &= \lambda \langle E(X)g, h\rangle \\ &= \lambda \langle \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}} g, h\rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}} g, h\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.7. *ρ is a metric on $P(X)$.*

Proof.

(1) Let $E, F \in P(X)$. We show $\rho(E, F) < \infty$. Let $\phi \in \mathrm{Lip}_1(X)$ and $x_0 \in X$. Then, by Claim 2.6 ($\lambda = \phi(x_0)$),

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left| \int \phi dE - \int \phi dF \right|\right| &= \left|\left| \int \phi dE - \phi(x_0)\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}} + \phi(x_0)\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}} - \int \phi dF \right|\right| \\ &= \left|\left| \int \phi dE - \int \phi(x_0) dE - \left(\int \phi dF - \int \phi(x_0) dF \right) \right|\right| \\ &\leq \left|\left| \int (\phi - \phi(x_0)) dE \right|\right| + \left|\left| \int (\phi - \phi(x_0))\, dF \right|\right| \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

By Claim 2.5, since $\phi - \phi(x_0)$ is a real-valued continuous function on X, $\int(\phi - \phi(x_0)dE$ and $\int(\phi - \phi(x_0)dF$ are self-adjoint operators and therefore,

$$\left|\left| \int (\phi - \phi(x_0)) dE \right|\right| = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}, ||h||=1} \left\{ \left| \left\langle \left(\int (\phi(x) - \phi(x_0)) dE \right) h, h \right\rangle \right| \right\}.$$

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $||h|| = 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left\langle\left(\int(\phi(x)-\phi(x_0))dE\right)h,h\right\rangle\right| &= \left|\int_X(\phi(x)-\phi(x_0))dE_{h,h}(x)\right| \\ &\leq \int_X|\phi(x)-\phi(x_0)|dE_{h,h}(x) \\ &\leq \int_X d(x,x_0)dE_{h,h}(x) \\ &\leq \text{diam}(X)\int_X dE_{h,h}(x) \\ &= \text{diam}(X)\langle E(X)h,h\rangle \\ &= \text{diam}(X)||h||^2 \\ &= \text{diam}(X) \\ &< \infty,\end{aligned}$$

where diam(X) denotes the diameter of the metric space X. This quantity is finite because X is compact. Hence,

$$\left|\left|\int(\phi-\phi(x_0))dE\right|\right| \leq \text{diam}(X) < \infty,$$

and similarly,

$$\left|\left|\int(\phi-\phi(x_0))dF\right|\right| \leq \text{diam}(X) < \infty,$$

which implies that the last line of (2.2) is less than or equal to $2\,\text{diam}(X) < \infty$. Since diam$(X)$ is independent of the choice of $\phi \in \text{Lip}_1(X)$, $\rho(E,F) \leq 2\,\text{diam}(X) < \infty$.

(2) Let $E, F \in P(X)$. It is clear from the definition of ρ that $\rho(E,F) = \rho(F,E)$.

(3) Let $E, F \in P(X)$. We show that $\rho(E,F) = 0$ if and only if $E = F$. The backwards direction is clear from the definition of ρ. For the forwards direction, suppose that $\rho(E,F) = 0$. We need to show that $E = F$. That is, for all $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, we need to show that $E(\Delta) = F(\Delta)$. Choose a closed subset $C \subseteq X$. Define $f_n : X \to \mathbb{R}$ for $n = 1, ...\infty$ by $f_n(x) = \max\{1 - nd(x,C), 0\}$. Note that $f_n \in \text{Lip}_n(X) = \{f : X \to \mathbb{R} : |f(x) - f(y)| \leq nd(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in X\}$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{n}f_n \in \text{Lip}_1(X)$. Since $\rho(E,F) = 0$,

$$\int \frac{1}{n}f_n dE = \int \frac{1}{n}f_n dF,$$

for all n, which implies,

$$\int f_n dE = \int f_n dF, \tag{2.3}$$

for all n. Note that $f_n \downarrow \mathbf{1}_C$ pointwise. Choose $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $||h|| = 1$. By the Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$E_{h,h}(C) = \int_X \mathbf{1}_C dE_{h,h} = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_X f_n dE_{h,h},$$

and,

$$F_{h,h}(C) = \int_X \mathbf{1}_C dF_{h,h} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_X f_n dF_{h,h}.$$

By (2.3),

$$\int_X f_n dE_{h,h} = \int_X f_n dF_{h,h},$$

for all n, and hence, $E_{h,h}(C) = F_{h,h}(C)$ for all closed sets $C \subseteq X$. Since $E_{h,h}(\cdot)$ and $F_{h,h}(\cdot)$ are regular measures, $E_{h,h}(\Delta) = F_{h,h}(\Delta)$, or equivalently, $\langle (E(\Delta) - F(\Delta))h, h\rangle = 0$ for all $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Since $E(\Delta) - F(\Delta)$ is a self-adjoint operator (being the difference of two projections),

$$||E(\Delta) - F(\Delta)|| = \sup_{h\in\mathcal{H}, ||h||=1} |\langle (E(\Delta) - F(\Delta))h, h\rangle| = 0.$$

Therefore, $E(\Delta) = F(\Delta)$ for all $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

(4) Let $E, F, G \in P(X)$. We need to show that ρ satisfies:

$$\rho(E, G) \leq \rho(E, F) + \rho(F, G). \tag{2.4}$$

Choose $\phi \in \text{Lip}_1(X)$. Then,

$$\left|\left|\int \phi dE - \int \phi dG\right|\right| \leq \left|\left|\int \phi dE - \int \phi dF\right|\right| + \left|\left|\int \phi dF - \int \phi dG\right|\right|.$$

By taking the supremum of both sides over all $\text{Lip}_1(X)$ functions (2.4) follows. □

Corollary 2.8. *The metric space $(P(X), \rho)$ is bounded.*

Proof. In (1) of the above proof, we showed that for any $E, F \in P(X)$, $\rho(E, F) \leq 2\text{diam}(X) < \infty$. □

2.2. $(P(X), \rho)$ **is Complete:** We show that the metric space $(P(X), \rho)$ is complete. We begin with several facts.

Definition 2.9. *Let $C(X)$ denote the C^*-alegbra of continuous functions functions from X to $\mathbb{C}$, and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the C^*-algebra of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$. A representation $\pi : C(K) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $*$-homomorphism that preserves the identity.*

Theorem 2.10. [3] *Let $E : \mathcal{B}(X) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a projection valued measure. The map $\pi : C(X) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ given by,*

$$f \mapsto \int f dE,$$

is a representation.

Theorem 2.11. [3] *Let $\pi : C(X) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a representation. There exists a unique projection valued measure $E : \mathcal{B}(X) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that,*

$$\pi(f) = \int f dE,$$

for all $f \in C(X)$.

Lemma 2.12. [9] *Lip(X) is dense in $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, where Lip(X) is the collection of real valued Lipschitz functions on X.*

Theorem 2.13. *The metric space $(P(X), \rho)$ is complete.*

Proof. Let $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq P(X)$ be a Cauchy sequence of projection valued measures in the ρ metric. For each $n = 1, 2, ...$, use Theorem 2.10 to define a representation $\pi_n : C(X) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by,

$$f \mapsto \int f dE_n.$$

Claim 2.14. *Let $f \in C(X)$. The sequence of operators $\{\pi_n(f)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy in the operator norm.*

Proof of claim: Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $f = f_1 + if_2$, where $f_1, f_2 \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. By Lemma 2.12, choose $g_1, g_2 \in \text{Lip}(X)$ such that $||f_1 - g_1||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$ and $||f_2 - g_2||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$.

There is a $K > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{K} g_1 \in \text{Lip}_1(X)$ and $\frac{1}{K} g_2 \in \text{Lip}_1(X)$. Since $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the ρ metric, the sequence $\{\pi_n(\frac{1}{K} g_1)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy in the operator norm, and hence, $\{\pi_n(g_1)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy in the operator norm. Similarly, $\{\pi_n(g_2)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy in the operator norm. Therefore, choose N such that for $n, m \geq N$,

$$||\pi_n(g_1) - \pi_m(g_1)|| < \frac{\epsilon}{6} \text{ and } ||\pi_n(g_2) - \pi_m(g_2)|| < \frac{\epsilon}{6}.$$

If $m, n \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} ||\pi_n(f_1) - \pi_m(f_1)|| &\leq ||\pi_n(f_1) - \pi_n(g_1)|| + ||\pi_n(g_1) - \pi_m(g_1)|| + ||\pi_m(g_1) - \pi_m(f)|| \\ &\leq ||\pi_n(f_1 - g_1)|| + \frac{\epsilon}{6} + ||\pi_m(f_1 - g_1)|| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality is because $||\pi_n(f_1 - g_1)|| \leq ||f_1 - g_1||_\infty$ and $||\pi_m(f_1 - g_1)|| \leq ||f_1 - g_1||_\infty$. Similarly, $||\pi_n(f_2) - \pi_m(f_2)|| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then, if $n, m \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} ||\pi_n(f) - \pi_m(f)|| &= ||\pi_n(f_1 + if_2) - \pi_m(f_1 + if_2)|| \\ &= ||(\pi_n(f_1) - \pi_m(f_1)) + i(\pi_n(f_2) + \pi_m(f_2))|| \\ &\leq ||\pi_n(f_1) - \pi_m(f_1)|| + ||\pi_n(f_2) - \pi_m(f_2)|| \\ &\leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim.

Define $\pi : C(X) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $f \mapsto \lim_{n\to\infty} \pi_n(f)$. This map is well defined by Claim 2.14, and the fact that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is complete in the operator norm. We show that π is a representation.

(1) π is linear:

Let $f, g \in C(X)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\alpha f+g) &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\pi_n(\alpha f+g)\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}(\alpha\pi_n(f)+\pi_n(g))\\ &= \alpha\lim_{n\to\infty}\pi_n(f)+\lim_{n\to\infty}\pi_n(g)\\ &= \alpha\pi(f)+\pi(g).\end{aligned}$$

(2) π is an algebra homomorphism:

Let $f, g \in C(X)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(fg) &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\pi_n(fg)\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\pi_n(f)\lim_{n\to\infty}\pi_n(g)\\ &= \pi(f)\pi(g).\end{aligned}$$

(3) π is a $*$-homomorphism:

Let $f \in C(X)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\overline{f}) &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\pi_n(\overline{f})\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\pi_n(f)^*\\ &= \pi(f)^*,\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is because $||\pi_n(f)-\pi(f)|| = ||(\pi_n(f)-\pi(f))^*|| = ||\pi_n(f)^*-\pi(f)^*||$.

(4) π preserves the identity:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(1) &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\pi_n(1)\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.11, there exists a unique projection valued measure $E : \mathcal{B}(X) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that,

$$\pi(f) = \int f dE,$$

for all $f \in C(X)$. We show that $E_n \to E$ in the ρ metric as $n \to \infty$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose N such that for $n, m \geq N$,

$$\rho(E_n, E_m) < \epsilon.$$

Let $n, m \geq N$ and $\phi \in \text{Lip}_1(X)$. Observe,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left|\int \phi dE_n - \int \phi dE\right|\right| &= \lim_{m\to\infty}\left|\left|\int \phi dE_n - \int \phi dE_m\right|\right| \\ &\leq \epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

where the equality is because $\lim_{m\to\infty}\int \phi dE_m = \lim_{m\to\infty}\pi_m(\phi) = \pi(\phi) = \int \phi dE$, and the inequality is because $\rho(E_n, E_m) < \epsilon$. Since the choice of N is independent of the choice of ϕ, we have for $n, m \geq N$,

$$\rho(E_n, E) = \sup_{\phi\in \mathrm{Lip}_1(X)}\left\{\left|\left|\int \phi dE_n - \int \phi dE\right|\right|\right\} \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence, $E_n \to E$ in the ρ metric as $n \to \infty$ and the metric space $(P(X), \rho)$ is complete.

□

2.3. **Defining the Weak Topology on P(X):.**

Definition 2.15. *A sequence of projection valued measures $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq P(X)$ converges weakly to a projection valued measure $F \in P(X)$, written $F_n \Rightarrow F$, if for all $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, $\int f dF_n \to \int f dF$, where convergence is in the operator norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Theorem 2.16. *The topology induced by the ρ metric on $P(X)$ coincides with the weak topology on $P(X)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq P(X)$ converges to a projection valued measure, $E \in P(X)$, in the ρ metric. We will show that $E_n \Rightarrow E$. Toward this end, choose $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Using Lemma 2.12, choose a function $g \in \mathrm{Lip}(X)$, with Lipschitz constant $K > 0$, such that $||f - g||_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Note that $\frac{g}{K} \in \mathrm{Lip}_1(X)$.

Since $E_n \to E$ in the ρ metric, we know there exists an N such that for $n \geq N$, $\rho(E_n, E) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3K}$. In particular, for $n \geq N$,

$$\left|\left|\int_X \frac{g}{K} dE_n - \int_X \frac{g}{K} dE\right|\right| \leq \rho(E_n, E) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3K},$$

which implies that,

$$\left|\left|\int_X g dE_n - \int_X g dE\right|\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

Combining this information, we get that for $n \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left|\int_X f dE_n - \int_X f dE\right|\right| &\leq \left|\left|\int_X f dE_n - \int_X g dE_n\right|\right| + \left|\left|\int_X g dE_n - \int_X g dE\right|\right| \\ &+ \left|\left|\int_X g dE - \int_X f dE\right|\right| \\ &\leq ||f - g||_\infty + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + ||f - g||_\infty \\ &= \epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $E_n \Rightarrow E$.

Next, suppose that $E_n \Rightarrow E$. We show that E_n converges to E in the ρ metric. Choose $x_0 \in X$. Consider the set $B = \{f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X) : f \in \text{Lip}_1(X) \text{ and } f(x_0) = 0\}$.

- B is closed in the supremum norm in $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$.
- B is pointwise bounded: If $x \in X$, and $f \in B$, then $|f(x)| = |f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq d(x, x_0) \leq \text{diam}(X) < \infty$.
- B is equicontinuous: Let $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then, if $y \in X$ such that $d(x, y) < \epsilon$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq d(x, y) < \epsilon,$$

for all $f \in B$.

Therefore, by Ascoli's Thoerem, see [10], B is compact in the supremum norm. Accordingly, choose $\{f_1, ..., f_k\} \subseteq B$ such that $B \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^{k} \mathcal{O}_{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}(f_j)$. Since $E_n \Rightarrow E$, and $f_j \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$, there exists an N such that for $n \geq N$,

$$\left| \int_X f_j dE_n - \int_X f_j dE \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3},$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq k$. Let $\phi \in \text{Lip}_1(X)$. Define $f(x) = \phi(x) - \phi(x_0)$, and note that $f \in B$. There exists an f_j such that $||f - f_j||_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Observe that if $n \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_X \phi dE_n - \int_X \phi dE \right| &= \left| \int_X f dE_n - \int_X f dE \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_X f dE_n - \int_X f_j dE_n \right| + \left| \int_X f_j dE_n - \int_X f_j dE \right| \\
&+ \left| \int_X f_j dE - \int_X f dE \right| \\
&\leq ||f - f_j||_\infty + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + ||f - f_j||_\infty \\
&= \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since N does not depend on the choice of ϕ, $\rho(E_n, E) \leq \epsilon$ if $n \geq N$.

□

2.4. **Isomorphism of Metric Spaces:**

Theorem 2.17. *Suppose the $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ are two isomorphic Hilbert spaces with isomorphism $S : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$. Consider the two associated complete metric spaces $(P_{\mathcal{H}_1}(X), \rho)$ and $(P_{\mathcal{H}_2}(X), \rho)$. Define $\Theta : (P_{\mathcal{H}_1}(X), \rho) \to (P_{\mathcal{H}_2}(X), \rho)$, by*

$$E(\cdot) \mapsto SE(\cdot)S^*.$$

Then, Θ is a bijective isometry of metric spaces.

Proof. We first show that Θ is well defined. Choose some $E \in (P_{\mathcal{H}_1}(X), \rho)$ and show that $\Theta(E)$ is a projection valued measure in $(P_{\mathcal{H}_2}(X), \rho)$. By construction, $\Theta(E)(\Delta)$ is a bounded operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ for all Borel subsets $\Delta \subseteq X$.

- $\Theta(E)(\emptyset) = SE(\emptyset)S^* = 0$.
- $\Theta(E)(X) = SE(X)S^* = SS^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}_2}$.

- $\Theta(E)(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) = SE(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2)S^* = SE(\Delta_1)E(\Delta_2)S^* = SE(\Delta_1)S^*SE(\Delta_2)S^* = \Theta(E)(\Delta_1)\Theta(E)(\Delta_2)$ for all Borel subsets $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \subseteq X$.
- Let $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint Borel subsets of X and let $h \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(E)(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\Delta_n)(h) &= SE(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\Delta_n)S^*h \\
&= S\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\Delta_n)S^*h\right) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} SE(\Delta_n)S^*h \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta(E)(\Delta_n)h,
\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality is because S is continuous. Hence, $\Theta(E)$ is a projection valued measure. Now we show that Θ preserves the metric ρ. In particular, let $E, F \in (P_{\mathcal{H}_1}(X), \rho)$. We want to show that,

$$\rho(\Theta(E), \Theta(F)) = \rho(E, F).$$

To this end, choose $\phi \in \text{Lip}_1(X)$ and suppose $h \in \mathcal{H}_2$ with $||h|| = 1$. Observe,

$$\left|\left\langle \left(\int \phi d\Theta(E) - \int \phi d\Theta(F)\right) h, h \right\rangle\right| =$$

$$\left|\left\langle \left(\int \phi dSE(\cdot)S^* - \int \phi dSF(\cdot)S^*\right) h, h \right\rangle\right| =$$

$$\left|\left\langle \left(\int \phi dE - \int \phi dF\right) S^*h, S^*h \right\rangle\right|.$$

Since S^* is a surjective isometry,

$$\{k \in \mathcal{H}_1 : ||k|| = 1\} = \{S^*h : h \in \mathcal{H}_2, ||h|| = 1\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left|\int \phi d\Theta(E) - \int \phi d\Theta(F)\right|\right| &= \sup_{h\in\mathcal{H}_2,||h||=1}\left|\left\langle\left(\int \phi d\Theta(E) - \int \phi d\Theta(F)\right)h, h\right\rangle\right| \\ &= \sup_{h\in\mathcal{H}_2,||h||=1}\left|\left\langle\left(\int \phi dE - \int \phi dF\right)S^*h, S^*h\right\rangle\right| \\ &= \sup_{k\in\mathcal{H}_1,||k||=1}\left|\left\langle\left(\int \phi dE - \int \phi dF\right)k, k\right\rangle\right| \\ &= \left|\left|\int \phi dE - \int \phi dF\right|\right|.\end{aligned}$$

By taking the supremum over all $\text{Lip}_1(X)$ functions we get that, $\rho(\Theta(E), \Theta(F)) = \rho(E, F)$.

Next, we show that Θ is surjective. Choose $E \in (P_{\mathcal{H}_2}(X), \rho)$. Consider $S^*E(\cdot)S \in (P_{\mathcal{H}_1}(X), \rho)$. Then, $\Theta(S^*E(\cdot)S) = SS^*E(\cdot)SS^* = E(\cdot)$, and Θ is surjective (where here we are using the fact that $SS^* = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_2}$). To show Θ is injective, suppose $E, F \in P_{\mathcal{H}_1}(X)$ are such that $SE(\cdot)S^* = SF(\cdot)S^*$. By using the fact that $S^*S = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_1}$, we get that $E = F$.

□

3. An Application for the Metric Space $(P(X), \rho)$:

We now restrict to the situation that $\mathcal{H} = L^2(X, \mu)$, and we consider the associated complete metric space $(P(X), \rho)$.

Theorem 3.1. *The map $\Phi : P(X) \to P(X)$ given by,*

$$E(\cdot) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\cdot))S_i^*,$$

is a Lipschitz contraction in the ρ metric.

Proof. We begin by showing that the map Φ is well defined. That is, we show that if $E \in P(X)$, then $\Phi(E)$ is a projection valued measure.

- Let $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(\Phi(E)(\Delta))^2 &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta))S_i^*\right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta))S_i^* \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} S_j E(\sigma_j^{-1}(\Delta))S_j^* \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta))^2 S_i^* \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta))S_i^* \\ &= \Phi(E)(\Delta),\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality is because $S_i^* S_j = \delta_{i,j}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$, and the fourth equality is because $E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta))$ is a projection (in particular an idempotent) for all $0 \leq i \leq N-1$.

- $\Phi(E)(\emptyset) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\emptyset))S_i^* = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\emptyset)S_i^* = 0$.
- $\Phi(E)(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(X))S_i^* = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(X)S_i^* = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i S_i^* = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$.
- Let $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(E)(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2))S_i^* \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_1) \cap \sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_2))S_i^* \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_1))E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_2))S_i^* \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_1))S_i^* S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_2))S_i^* \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_1)S_i^* \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} S_j E(\sigma_j^{-1}(\Delta_2))S_j^* \\ &= \Phi(E)(\Delta_1)\Phi(E)(\Delta_2),\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality is because E is a projection valued measure, and the fourth and fifth equalities are because $S_i^* S_j = \delta_{i,j}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

- Let $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of disjoint subsets in $\mathcal{B}(X)$. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Note that,

$$\Phi(E)(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\Delta_n)(h) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\Delta_n))S_i^*\right)(h) =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left(S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\Delta_n))S_i^* h\right). \tag{3.1}$$

For each $0 \leq i \leq N-1$, since E is a projection valued measure,

$$E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\Delta_n))S_i^* h = E(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_n))S_i^* h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_n))S_i^* h.$$

Since S_i is continuous,

$$S_i\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_n))S_i^* h\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_n))S_i^* h,$$

which implies that (3.1) is equal to,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_n))S_i^* h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta_n))S_i^* h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\Phi(E)(\Delta_n)h).$$

Claim 3.2. *Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Then,*

$$\Phi(E)_{h,h}(\Delta) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} E_{S_i^* h, S_i^* h}(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta)),$$

for all $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

Proof of claim: Let $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(E)_{h,h}(\Delta) &= \langle \Phi(E)(\Delta)h, h\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta))S_i^*\right)h, h\right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\langle S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta))S_i^* h, h\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\langle E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta))S_i^* h, S_i^* h\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} E_{S_i^* h, S_i^* h}(\sigma_i^{-1}(\Delta)),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the claim.

We now show that Φ is a Lipschitz contraction in the ρ metric. Accordingly, choose $E, F \in P(X)$. We show that,

$$\rho(\Phi(E), \Phi(F)) \leq r\rho(E, F),$$

where $r = \max_{0\leq i\leq N-1}\{r_i\}$ (r_i is the Lipschitz constant associated to σ_i). Choose $\phi \in \text{Lip}_1(X)$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $||h|| = 1$, and $x_0 \in X$. By Claim 2.5,

$$\int \phi d\Phi(E) - \int \phi d\Phi(F),$$

is self adjoint operator, and hence,

$$\left\langle \left(\int \phi d\Phi(E) - \int \phi d\Phi(F) \right) h, h \right\rangle,$$

is a real number. Suppose without loss of generality,

$$\left| \left\langle \left(\int \phi d\Phi(E) - \int \phi d\Phi(F) \right) h, h \right\rangle \right| = \left\langle \left(\int \phi d\Phi(E) - \int \phi d\Phi(F) \right) h, h \right\rangle.$$

Then,

$$\left\langle \left(\int \phi d\Phi(E) - \int \phi d\Phi(F) \right) h, h \right\rangle =$$

$$\left\langle \left(\int \phi d\Phi(E) \right) h, h \right\rangle - \left\langle \left(\int \phi d\Phi(F) \right) h, h \right\rangle = \int_X \phi d\Phi(E)_{h,h} - \int_X \phi d\Phi(F)_{h,h} =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_X \phi dE_{S_i^*h, S_i^*h}(\sigma_i^{-1}(\cdot)) - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_X \phi dF_{S_i^*h, S_i^*h}(\sigma_i^{-1}(\cdot)) =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_X (\phi \circ \sigma_i) dE_{S_i^*h, S_i^*h} - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_X (\phi \circ \sigma_i) dF_{S_i^*h, S_i^*h} =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_X (\phi(\sigma_i(x)) - \phi(\sigma_i(x_0))) dE_{S_i^*h, S_i^*h}(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_X \phi(x_0) dE_{S_i^*h, S_i^*h}(x) -$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_X (\phi(\sigma_i(x)) - \phi(\sigma_i(x_0))) dF_{S_i^*h, S_i^*h}(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_X \phi(x_0) dF_{S_i^*h, S_i^*h}(x) =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_X (\phi(\sigma_i(x)) - \phi(\sigma_i(x_0))) dE_{S_i^*h, S_i^*h}(x) -$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_X (\phi(\sigma_i(x)) - \phi(\sigma_i(x_0))) dF_{S_i^*h, S_i^*h}(x) \leq$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_X r d(x, x_0) dE_{S_i^*h, S_i^*h}(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} r \int_X \frac{\phi(\sigma_i(x)) - \phi(\sigma_i(x_0))}{r} dF_{S_i^*h, S_i^*h}(x) =$$

$$r\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left(\left\langle\left(\int d(x,x_0)dE\right)S_i^*h,S_i^*h\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(\int g(x)dF\right)S_i^*h,S_i^*h\right\rangle\right)\right)=$$
$$r\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left\langle\left(\int d(x,x_0)dE-\int g(x)dF\right)S_i^*h,S_i^*h\right\rangle\right),$$

where $g(x)=\dfrac{\phi(\sigma_i(x))-\phi(\sigma_i(x_0))}{r}\in \text{Lip}_1(X)$. Also, note that $d(x,x_0)\in \text{Lip}_1(X)$. Continuing,

$$r\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left\langle\left(\int d(x,x_0)dE-\int g(x)dF\right)S_i^*h,S_i^*h\right\rangle\right)\leq$$
$$r\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left|\left\langle\left(\int d(x,x_0)dE-\int g(x)dF\right)S_i^*h,S_i^*h\right\rangle\right|\right)\leq$$
$$r\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left|\left|\int d(x,x_0)dE-\int g(x)dF\right|\right||S_i^*h||^2\right)\leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}r\rho(E,F)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\langle S_i^*h,S_i^*h\rangle\right) &= r\rho(E,F)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\langle S_iS_i^*h,h\rangle\right)\\ &= r\rho(E,F)\left\langle\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}S_iS_i^*\right)h,h\right\rangle\\ &= r\rho(E,F)\langle h,h\rangle\\ &= r\rho(E,F).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left|\left|\int\phi d\Phi(E)-\int\phi d\Phi(F)\right|\right|\leq r\rho(E,F),$$

and since ϕ is an arbitrary element of $\text{Lip}_1(X)$,

$$\rho(\Phi(E),\Phi(F))\leq r\rho(E,F),$$

which proves that Φ is a Lipschitz contraction on $(P(X),\rho)$.

□

3.1. **An Alternative Proof of Theorem 1.9:** By Theorem 2.13 and Theorem 3.1, we know that Φ is contraction on the complete metric space $(P(X),\rho)$. By the Contraction Mapping Theorem, there exists a unique projection valued measure $E\in P(X)$ such that,

$$E(\cdot)=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}S_iE(\sigma_i^{-1}(\cdot))S_i^*. \tag{3.2}$$

It remains to show that $E(A_k(a)) = P_k(a)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $a \in \Gamma_N^k$. This will be done by induction on k. Indeed, suppose that $k = 1$, and consider $A_1(j) = \sigma_j(X)$ for some $j \in \Gamma_N$. Then, by (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} E(\sigma_j(X)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\sigma_j(X)))S_i^* \\ &= S_j E(X) S_j^* \\ &= S_j S_j^* \\ &= P_1(j). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the base case. Suppose that $E(A_{k-1}(b)) = P_{k-1}(b)$ for all $b \in \Gamma_N^{k-1}$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $k > 1$. We will show that $E(A_k(a)) = P_k(a)$. Choose some $a \in \Gamma_N^k$. Suppose that $a = (a_1, ..., a_k)$ and $b = (a_2, ..., a_k)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E(A_k(a)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(A_k(a)))S_i^* \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\sigma_{a_1}(A_{k-1}(b)))S_i^* \\ &= S_{a_1} E(A_{k-1}(b)) S_{a_1}^* \\ &= S_{a_1} P_{k-1}(b) S_{a_1}^* \\ &= P_k(a). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, an alternative proof of Theorem 1.9 is complete.

Remark 3.3. *The alternative proof that we have presented depends on the fact that the subsets $A_k(a)$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $a = (a_1, ..., a_n) \in \Gamma_N^k$, satisfy,*

$$A_k(a) = \sigma_{a_1} \circ ... \circ \sigma_{a_k}(X),$$

where $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^{N-1}$ is an iterated function system of Lipschitz contractions on X. The proof of Jorgensen does not require this assumption, and hence, it is more general. Indeed, it only requires that for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there is a sequence of subsets $\{A_k(a)\}_{a \in \Gamma_N^k}$ which partitions X, such that,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \textit{diam}(A_k(a)) = O(N^{-ck}) \textit{ for } c > 0.$$

At this juncture, we think it is useful to briefly review a result due to Jorgensen which identifies the relationship between the measure μ and the projection valued measure E. We first introduce some notation. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the abelian C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X, \mu))$ generated by the family of projections $S_a S_a^*$ for $a \in \Gamma_N^k$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. For $h \in L^2(X, \mu)$, let $\mathcal{C}h = \{Ch : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$, and denote the closure of $\mathcal{C}h$ by $\mathcal{H}_h$.

Theorem 3.4. [7] *There is a set $h_1, h_2, ... \in L^2(X, \mu)$ (possibly finite) with $||h_i|| = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, ...$ such that the following hold:*

(1) *The measures E_{h_i,h_i} for $i = 1, 2, ...$ are mutually singular.*
(2) $L^2(X, \mu) = \oplus_i \mathcal{H}_{h_i}$.
(3) *For each $i = 1, 2, ...$, there exists a unique isometry,*

$$V_i : L^2(X, E_{h_i,h_i}) \to L^2(X, \mu),$$

satisfying the following:
- $V_i(\mathbf{1}_{A_k(a)}) = S_a S_a^* h_i$ *for all* $a \in \Gamma_N^k$ *and* $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,
- $V_i^* S_a S_a^* V_i = M_{A_k(a)}$ *for all* $a \in \Gamma_N^k$ *and* $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, *where* $M_{A_k(a)}$ *is the operator on* $L^2(X, E_{h_i,h_i})$ *given by multiplication by* $\mathbf{1}_{A_k(a)}$, *and*
- $V_i(L^2(X, E_{h_i,h_i})) = \mathcal{H}_{h_i}$.

We note that Theorem 3.4 is presented in a more general form in [7]; namely, the Hilbert space $L^2(X, \mu)$ is replaced with an arbitrary Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which admits a representation of the Cuntz algebra on N generators.

4. Generalizing to Positive Operator Valued Measures:

Definition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an arbitrary Hilbert space. A positive operator valued measure for $(X, \mathcal{B}(X), \mathcal{H})$ is a function $A : \mathcal{B}(X) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that,*

(1) *For each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $A(\Delta)$ is a positive operator on $\mathcal{H}$;*
(2) $A(\emptyset) = 0$ *and* $A(X) = id_{\mathcal{H}}$*;*
(3) *If $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are pairwise disjoint sets in $\mathcal{B}(X)$, then for all $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$,*

$$\left\langle B\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n\right) h, k \right\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle B(\Delta_n)h, k\rangle.$$

Remark 4.2. *Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.3 are true for positive operator valued measures.*

Let $S(X)$ be the collection of all positive operator valued measures from X into $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and consider the ρ metric on $S(X)$. Using the same argument as before, we can show that ρ is a metric on $S(X)$.

Theorem 4.3. *The metric space $(S(X), \rho)$ is complete.*

The proof of this theorem will be achieved by combining several results.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq S(X)$ be a Cauchy sequence in the ρ metric. For any $g, h \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists a unique complex valued measure $\mu_{g,h}$ such that $A_{n_{g,h}} \Rightarrow \mu_{g,h}$; that is, for any $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$,*

$$\int_X f dA_{n_{g,h}} \to \int_X f d\mu_{g,h}.$$

Proof. Choose some $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and consider the sequence of positive measures $\{A_{n_{h,h}}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Claim 4.5. *For $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, the sequence $\{\int_X f dA_{n_{h,h}}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers.*

Proof of claim: Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\text{Lip}(X)$ is dense in $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, choose a $g \in \text{Lip}(X)$, with Lipschitz constant $K > 0$, such that $||f - g||_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3||h||^2}$. Next, choose an N such that for $n, m \geq N$, $\rho(A_n, A_m) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3K||h||^2}$. Note that since $\frac{g}{K} \in \text{Lip}_1(X)$, if $n, m \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_X \frac{g}{K} dA_{n_{h,h}} - \int_X \frac{g}{K} dA_{m_{h,h}}\right| &= \left|\left\langle \left(\int_X \frac{g}{K} dA_n - \int_X \frac{g}{k} dA_m\right) h, h\right\rangle\right| \\ &\leq \left|\left|\int_X \frac{g}{K} dA_n - \int_X \frac{g}{K} dA_m\right|\right| ||h||^2 \\ &\leq \rho(A_n, A_m)||h||^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3K},\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$\left|\int_X g dA_{n_{h,h}} - \int_X g dA_{m_{h,h}}\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

For $n, m \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_X f dA_{n_{h,h}} - \int_X f dA_{m_{h,h}}\right| &= \left|\int_X f dA_{n_{h,h}} - \int_X g dA_{n_{h,h}}\right| \\ &+ \left|\int_X g dA_{n_{h,h}} - \int_X g dA_{m_{h,h}}\right| \\ &+ \left|\int_X g dA_{m_{h,h}} - \int_X f dA_{m_{h,h}}\right| \\ &\leq ||f - g||_\infty ||h||^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + ||f - g||_\infty ||h||^2 \\ &\leq \epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

and the claim is proven.

Define $\mu_{h,h} : C_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f \mapsto \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_X f dA_{n_{h,h}}$. This map is well defined by the above claim, and the fact that $\mathbb{R}$ is complete. Since $\mu_{h,h}$ is a positive linear functional on $C(X)$, $\mu_{h,h}$ is the unique measure which satisfies,

$$\int_X f d\mu_{h,h} = \mu_{h,h}(f),$$

for all $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. Moreover, by construction, $A_{n_{h,h}} \Rightarrow \mu_{h,h}$.

Remark 4.6. *Let A be a positive operator (or projection) valued measure on X. Since the inner product associated to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is sesquilinear, the map,*

$$[g, h] \mapsto A_{g,h},$$

is sesquilinear. Also, for $g, h \in \mathcal{H}$, $A_{g,h} = \overline{A_{h,g}}$ (this property is also inherited from the inner product).

Let $g, h \in \mathcal{H}$. Using the above remark, observe that for all n,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n_{g+h,g+h}} &= A_{n_{g,g}} + A_{n_{g,h}} + A_{n_{h,g}} + A_{n_{h,h}} \\ &= A_{n_{g,g}} + A_{n_{g,h}} + \overline{A_{n_{g,h}}} + A_{n_{h,h}} \\ &= A_{n_{g,g}} + 2\mathrm{Re}A_{n_{g,h}} + A_{n_{h,h}}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, $\mathrm{Re}A_{n_{g,h}} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{n_{g+h,g+h}} - A_{n_{g,g}} - A_{n_{h,h}})$. Since $A_{n_{g+h,g+h}} \Rightarrow \mu_{g+h,g+h}$, $A_{n_{g,g}} \Rightarrow \mu_{g,g}$, and $A_{n_{h,h}} \Rightarrow \mu_{h,h}$,

$$\mathrm{Re}A_{n_{g,h}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{g+h,g+h} - \mu_{g,g} - \mu_{h,h}) := \mathrm{Re}\mu_{g,h}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n_{ig+h,ig+h}} &= A_{n_{g,g}} + iA_{n_{g,h}} - iA_{n_{h,g}} + A_{n_{h,h}} \\ &= A_{n_{g,g}} + i(\mathrm{Re}A_{n_{g,h}} + i\mathrm{Im}A_{n_{g,h}}) - i\overline{A_{n_{g,h}}} + A_{n_{h,h}} \\ &= A_{n_{g,g}} + i\mathrm{Re}A_{n_{g,h}} - \mathrm{Im}A_{n_{g,h}} - i(\mathrm{Re}A_{n_{g,h}} - i\mathrm{Im}A_{n_{g,h}}) + A_{n_{h,h}} \\ &= A_{n_{g,g}} + i\mathrm{Re}A_{n_{g,h}} - \mathrm{Im}A_{n_{g,h}} - i\mathrm{Re}A_{n_{g,h}} - \mathrm{Im}A_{n_{g,h}} + A_{n_{h,h}} \\ &= A_{n_{g,g}} - 2\mathrm{Im}A_{n_{g,h}} + A_{n_{h,h}}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, $\mathrm{Im}A_{n_{g,h}} = -\frac{1}{2}(A_{n_{ig+h,ig+h}} - A_{n_{g,g}} - A_{n_{h,h}})$. Since $A_{n_{ig+h,ig+h}} \Rightarrow \mu_{ig+h,ig+h}$, $A_{n_{g,g}} \Rightarrow \mu_{g,g}$, and $A_{n_{h,h}} \Rightarrow \mu_{h,h}$,

$$\mathrm{Im}A_{n_{g,h}} \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}(\mu_{ig+h,ig+h} - \mu_{g,g} - \mu_{h,h}) := \mathrm{Im}\mu_{g,h}.$$

Define $\mu_{g,h} = \mathrm{Re}\mu_{g,h} + i\mathrm{Im}\mu_{g,h}$. By construction, $A_{n_{g,h}} \Rightarrow \mu_{g,h}$.

□

Lemma 4.7. *The map $[g, h] \mapsto \mu_{g,h}$ is sesquilinear.*

Proof. We will show that $[g, h] \mapsto \mu_{g,h}$ is linear in the first coordinate. The remaining properties of sesquilinearity are proved with a similar approach.

Let $g, h, k \in \mathcal{H}$. We will show that,

$$\mu_{g+h,k}(\Delta) = \mu_{g,k}(\Delta) + \mu_{h,k}(\Delta),$$

for all Borel subsets $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Let $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X f d\mu_{g+h,k} &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_X f dE_{n_{g+h,k}} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\int_X f dE_{n_{g,k}} + \int_X f dE_{n_{h,k}} \right) \\ &= \int_X f d\mu_{g,k} + \int_X f d\mu_{h,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider a closed subset $C \subseteq X$, and choose a sequence of functions $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subseteq C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ such that $f_m \downarrow \mathbf{1}_C$ pointwise. By the Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \mathbf{1}_C d\mu_{g+h,k} &= \lim_{m\to\infty} \int_X f_m d\mu_{g+h,k} \\ &= \lim_{m\to\infty} \left(\int_X f_m d\mu_{g,k} + \int_X f_m d\mu_{h,k} \right) \\ &= \int_X \mathbf{1}_C d\mu_{g,k} + \int_X \mathbf{1}_C d\mu_{h,k}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have shown that for any closed $C \subseteq X$,

$$\mu_{g+h,k}(C) = \mu_{g,k}(C) + \mu_{h,k}(C). \tag{4.1}$$

By decomposing the measures $\mu_{g+h,k}, \mu_{g,k}, \mu_{h,k}$ into their real and imaginary parts, we can show that (4.1) is equivalent to the following equations:

$$\mathrm{Re}\mu_{g+h,k}(C) = \mathrm{Re}\mu_{g,k}(C) + \mathrm{Re}\mu_{h,k}(C), \tag{4.2}$$

and,

$$\mathrm{Im}\mu_{g+h,k}(C) = \mathrm{Im}\mu_{g,k}(C) + \mathrm{Im}\mu_{h,k}(C). \tag{4.3}$$

Using the definition of the real part of the measures $\mu_{g+h,k}, \mu_{g,k}, \mu_{h,k}$, we can show, by rearranging terms, that (4.2) is equivalent to:

$$M_1(C) = M_2(C), \tag{4.4}$$

where M_1 is the positive Borel measure,

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mu_{g+h+k,g+h+k} + \mu_{g,g} + 2\mu_{k,k}),$$

and M_2 is the positive Borel measure,

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mu_{g+k,g+k} + \mu_{g+h,g+h} + \mu_{h+k,h+k} + \mu_{k,k} + \mu_{h,h}).$$

Since M_1 and M_2 are positive Borel measures on a metric space, M_1 and M_2 regular. That is, we can conclude that $M_1(\Delta) = M_2(\Delta)$ for any Borel subset $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. By invoking the equivalence of (4.2) and (4.4), we have that (4.2) is true for for any Borel subset $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. A similar approach, will yield that (4.3) is true for any Borel subset $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Hence, (4.1) is true for any Borel subset $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. This shows linearity in the first coordinate.

As mentioned above, the following additional properties listed below are proved similarly:

- Let $g, h, k \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $\mu_{g,h+k} = \mu_{g,h} + \mu_{g.k}$.
- Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g, h \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $\mu_{\alpha g,h} = \alpha\mu_{g,h}$.
- Let $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g, h \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $\mu_{g,\beta h} = \overline{\beta}\mu_{g,h}$.

Hence, the map $[g,h] \mapsto \mu_{g,h}$ is sesquilinear.

□

Lemma 4.8. *For all $g,h \in \mathcal{H}$, $\mu_{g,h}(X) = \langle g,h\rangle$.*

Proof. $\mu_{g,h}(X) = \int_X 1 d\mu_{g,h} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_X 1 dA_{n_{g,h}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle A_n(X)g,h\rangle = \langle g,h\rangle$, where the second equality is because $1 \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, and $A_{n_{g,h}} \Rightarrow \mu_{g,h}$.

□

We now begin the proof of Theorem 4.3.

Proof. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq S(X)$ be a Cauchy sequence in the ρ metric. Our goal is to find an element $A \in S(X)$ such that $A_n \to A$ in the ρ metric. By Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.7, there exists a sesquilinear family of complex measures $\{\mu_{g,h} : g,h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ such that for all $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$,

$$\int_X f dA_{n_{g,h}} \to \int_X f d\mu_{g,h}.$$

Let $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. The map $[g,h] \mapsto \int_X \mathbf{1}_\Delta d\mu_{g,h}$ is a bounded sesquilinear form with bound 1. Hence, by the Riesz Representation Theorem, there exists a unique bounded operator, $A(\Delta) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, such that for all $g,h \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\langle A(\Delta)g,h\rangle = \int_X \mathbf{1}_\Delta d\mu_{g,h}.$$

Accordingly, define $A : \mathcal{B}(X) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $\Delta \mapsto A(\Delta)$.

Claim 4.9. *A is a positive operator valued measure.*

Proof of claim:

(1) Let $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Then,

$$\langle A(\Delta)h,h\rangle = \int_X \mathbf{1}_\Delta d\mu_{h,h} \geq 0.$$

Hence, $A(\Delta)$ is a positive operator.

(2) Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Then,

$$\langle A(X)h,h\rangle = \int_X d\mu_{h,h} = \langle h,h\rangle,$$

and

$$\langle A(\emptyset)h,h\rangle = \int_X \mathbf{1}_\emptyset d\mu_{h,h} = 0.$$

Hence, $A(X) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $A(\emptyset) = 0$.

(3) If $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are pairwise disjoint sets in $\mathcal{B}(X)$, then for all $h,k \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\left\langle A\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n\right)h,k\right\rangle =$$

$$\int_X \mathbf{1}_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\Delta_n} d\mu_{h,k} =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{h,k}(\Delta_n) =$$
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_X \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_n} d\mu_{h,k} =$$
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle A(\Delta_n)h, k\rangle.$$

This completes the proof of the claim.

We now show that $A_n \to A$ in the ρ metric. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose an N such that for $n, m \geq N$,

$$\rho(A_n, A_m) < \epsilon.$$

Next, choose $\phi \in \mathrm{Lip}_1(X)$. If $n \geq N$, and $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $||h|| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle \left(\int \phi dA_n - \int \phi dA\right) h, h \right\rangle\right| &= \left|\int_X \phi dA_{n_{h,h}} - \int_X \phi dA_{h,h}\right| \\
&= \lim_{m\to\infty} \left|\int_X \phi dA_{n_{h,h}} - \int_X \phi dA_{m_{h,h}}\right| \\
&= \lim_{m\to\infty} \left|\left\langle \left(\int \phi dA_n - \int \phi dA_m\right) h, h \right\rangle\right|,
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is because $A_{n_{h,h}} \Rightarrow \mu_{h,h} = A_{h,h}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle \left(\int \phi dA_n - \int \phi dA_m\right) h, h \right\rangle\right| &\leq \left|\left|\int \phi dA_n - \int \phi dA_m\right|\right| ||h||^2 \\
&= \left|\left|\int \phi dA_n - \int \phi dA_m\right|\right| \\
&\leq \rho(A_n, A_m) \\
&\leq \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \left|\left\langle \left(\int \phi dA_n - \int \phi dA_m\right) h, h \right\rangle\right| \leq \epsilon,$$

and therefore,

$$\left|\left|\int \phi dA_n - \int \phi dA\right|\right| \leq \epsilon.$$

Since the choice of N is independent of $\phi \in \mathrm{Lip}_1(X)$, $\rho(A_n, A) \leq \epsilon$, which shows that the metric space $(S(X), \rho)$ is complete.

□

Corollary 4.10. *The map $\Phi : S(X) \to S(X)$ given by,*

$$A(\cdot) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i A(\sigma_i^{-1}(\cdot))S_i^*,$$

is a Lipschitz contraction in the ρ metric.

Proof. The proof of this corollary is exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Remark 4.11. *Since we have previously shown that $(P(X), \rho)$ is a complete metric space, and $P(X) \subseteq S(X)$, where $(S(X), \rho)$ is also complete, we can conclude that $P(X)$ is a closed subset of $S(X)$ in the ρ metric. Also, note that, by uniqueness, the fixed point for the map $\Phi : S(X) \to S(X)$ is the same as the fixed point for $\Phi : P(X) \to P(X)$.*

Remark 4.12. *We can also consider the weak topology on $S(X)$. Using the same argument as before, one can show that the the weak topology on $S(X)$ coincides with the topology induced by the ρ metric.*

5. Conclusion:

Given a compact metric space (X, d), we showed that it is possible to generalize the Kantorovich metric to the space of projection (positive operator) valued measures from $\mathcal{B}(X)$ into the bounded operators on an arbitrary fixed Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We showed that this metric space was complete. We used the Contraction Mapping Theorem as an alternative approach to show the existence and uniqueness of a projection valued measure that satisfies a fixed point result (this was first identified by P. Jorgensen using a different and slightly more general approach [7] [8]). We now identify a list of further related topics. We have considered the first four topics, but we plan to present these additional findings in a future paper. We have not considered the fifth topic listed below, but we look forward to investigating it.

(1) Finding a topology on $P(X)$ (or $S(X)$) which is compact.

Remark 5.1. *The proof that the Kantorovich metric space of Borel probability measures on a compact space is compact (see Theorem 1.3) does not directly generalize to the the $(P(X), \rho)$ (or $(S(X), \rho)$) metric space, because $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ does not have a compact unit ball in the operator norm.*

(2) Generalizing (X, d) to a non-compact metric space.
(3) Finding other Lipschitz contractions on the metric space $(S(X), \rho)$.
(4) Looking at the map,

$$E(\cdot) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i E(\sigma_i^{-1}(\cdot))S_i^*,$$

when the maps $\{\sigma_i\}$ constitute a weakly hyperbolic iterated function system on a compact metric space (X, d) (see [1] and [4]). This is a weaker notion than when each σ_i is a Lipschitz contraction on X.
(5) Considering an iterated function system $\{\sigma_i\}$ that has overlap (i.e (1.2) is not a disjoint union).

6. Acknowledgements:

The author would like to thank his advisor, Judith Packer (University of Colorado), for a careful review of this material.

References

[1] Arbieto, A., Junqueira, A., and Santiago, B., "On weakly hyperbolic iterated functions systems," ArXiv e-prints, November 2012.
[2] Billingsley P. P., *Convergence of Probability Measures* (Second Edition), Wiley, New York, 1999.
[3] Conway, J., *A Course in Functional Analysis* (Second Edition), Springer, New York, 2000.
[4] Edalat, A., "Power Domains and Iterated Function Systems," Information and Computation, **124**, 182-197 (1996).
[5] Hutchinson J., "Fractals and self similarity," Indiana University Mathematics Journal, **30**, No. 5, 713-747 (1981).
[6] Jorgensen, P., "Iterated Function Systems, Representations, and Hilbert Space," Int. J. Math., **15**, 813 (2004).
[7] Jorgensen, P., "Measures in Wavelet Decompositions," Adv. in Appl. Math., **34**, No. 3 , 561-590 (2005).
[8] Jorgensen, P., "Use of Operator Algebras in the Analysis of Measures from Wavelets and Iterated Function System," Operator Theory, Operator Algebras, and Applications, Contemp. Math., **414**, 13 - 26, Amer. Math. Soc. (2006).
[9] Latremoliere, F., Ulam Seminar, University of Colorado, Fall 2013.
[10] Munkres, J., *Topology*, (Second Edition), Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 2000.

E-mail address: trubee.davison@colorado.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Colorado